

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,028	10/25/2000	Vincent P. Stanton JR.	11926-112001	3430
7:	590 03/12/2002			
Wesley B. Ames			EXAMINER	
Brobeck Phleger & Harrison LLP 12390 El Camino Real			CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA	
San Diego, CA 92130		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	$\langle \lambda \rangle$
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/697,028	STANTON, VINCENT P.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2001.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	/ 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
44)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/697,028

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' response to the office action and amendment (Paper No. 7) filed on December 31, 2001 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's response to the office action (Paper No.7) is fully considered and deemed persuasive in part.
- 3. The rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph in the previous office action is withdrawn herein in view of the applicants' amendment (Paper No.7).
- 4. With respect to the rejection made in the previous office action under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

New Grounds of Rejection Necessitated by Amendment Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,028

Art Unit: 1637

122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tyagi et.al. (USPN. 6,277,607).

Tyagi et al. teach a method for amplification of a DNA molecule wherein a first nucleic acid molecule having a mutated nucleotide at the polymorphic site is amplified to a greater extent than a second nucleic acid having a different nucleotide at the said polymorphic site (see column 3, lines 51-62, column 12, lines 47-67 and column 13, lines 1-26). Tyagi et al. also disclose that the method comprises (i) contacting a sample of DNA with a pair of primers, one of which is complementary to a nucleic acid strand and the other of which is complementary to the other strand, and amplifying the nucleic acid, thereby the polymorphic site with mutated nucleotide is amplified to a greater extend than the second or other nucleic acid molecule with a different nucleotide at the said site (see column see column 3, lines 51-62, column 8, lines 8-20, column 12, lines 47-67 and column 13, lines 1-26); Upon amplification the said primers form a stem-loop structure (hairpin stem) (see column 8, lines 39-66); DNA could be single-stranded or double-stranded derived from human (see column 11, lines 5-67, column 12, lines 1-44); a series of polymerse chain reactions could be carried out to perform amplification of plurality of polymorphic sites (see column 12, lines 46-67, column 13, lines 1-25); determination of relative proportion of mutant and wild-type sequences in the amplified products (see column 8, lines 8-20). Thus the disclosure of Tyagi et al. meets the limitations in the instant claims.

No claims are allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,028

Art Unit: 1637

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru March 8, 2002

> JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5